COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA

Present: Judges Frank, Alston and Senior Judge Coleman

LEAVON Z. REEVES

v. Record No. 0759-10-4

MEMORANDUM OPINION*
PER CURIAM
SEPTEMBER 21, 2010

OWEN & SPARROW, LLC AND COMMERCE & INDUSTRY INSURANCE COMPANY

FROM THE VIRGINIA WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

(Leavon Z. Reeves, *pro se*, on brief).

(S. Vernon Priddy III; Two Rivers Law Group, P.C., on brief), for appellees.

Leavon Z. Reeves (claimant) appeals a decision of the Workers' Compensation

Commission finding his change-in-condition claim seeking wage loss benefits was barred by the statute of limitations. Code § 65.2-708(A). Claimant also appeals the commission's decision that he is not entitled to change his treating physician. We have reviewed the record and the commission's opinion and find that this appeal is without merit. Accordingly, we affirm for the

^{*} Pursuant to Code § 17.1-413, this opinion is not designated for publication.

¹ We also note claimant violated Rules 5A:20(c),(d), and (e) and 5A:25, as written prior to the July 1, 2010 amendments, by failing to provide the following: (1) "[a] statement of the questions presented with clear and exact references to the page(s) of the transcript, written statement, record, or appendix where each question presented was preserved in the trial court"; (2) "[a] clear and concise statement of the facts that relate to the questions presented, with references to the pages of the transcript, written statement, record or appendix"; (3) "[t]he principles of law, the argument, and the authorities relating to each question presented"; and (4) an appendix containing: "[] the basic initial pleading . . . ; the judgment appealed from, and any memorandum or opinion relating thereto; [] any testimony and other incidents of the case germane to the questions presented; [] the title (but not the caption) of each paper contained in the appendix, and its filing date; the names of witnesses printed at the beginning of excerpts from their testimony and at the top of each page thereof; and exhibits necessary for an understanding for the case that can reasonably be reproduced."

reasons stated by the commission in its final opinion. <u>See Reeves v. Owen & Sparrow, LLC</u>, VWC File No. 206-62-74 (Mar. 10, 2010). We dispense with oral argument and summarily affirm because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the Court and argument would not aid the decisional process. <u>See Code § 17.1-403</u>; Rule 5A:27. <u>Affirmed.</u>