

COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA

Present: Judges McCullough, Decker and Senior Judge Felton

ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY AND
ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY

v. Record No. 1918-15-4

EUGENIO ABRAHAM MURGA, SR.

MEMORANDUM OPINION*
PER CURIAM
MARCH 22, 2016

FROM THE VIRGINIA WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

(Joseph C. Veith, III; Godwin, Erlandson, Vernon & Daney, L.L.C.,
on brief), for appellants.

No brief for appellee.

Roto-Rooter Services Company and Zurich American Insurance Company (appellants) appeal a decision of the Workers' Compensation Commission. Appellants allege the Commission erred in finding the evidence sufficient to prove that injuries sustained by Eugenio Abraham Murga, Sr., on July 6, 2014 were causally related to an injury by accident arising out of his employment on May 21, 2008. They also contend the Commission erred in finding the injury Murga sustained on July 6, 2014 was not a consequence of a compensable consequence of the May 21, 2008 injury.¹

We have reviewed the record and the Commission's opinion and find that this appeal is without merit. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the Commission in its opinion. See Murga v. Roto-Rooter Services Co., VWC File 2416697 (Oct. 28, 2015). We dispense with

* Pursuant to Code § 17.1-413, this opinion is not designated for publication.

¹ Appellee noted an appeal from the Commission's decision, but that appeal was dismissed. See Murga v. Roto-Rooter Services Co., Record No. 1905-15-4 (Va. Ct. App. Jan. 11, 2016 and Feb. 29, 2016).

oral argument and summarily affirm because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the Court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

See Code § 17.1-403; Rule 5A:27.

Affirmed.